

---

# New Guidance on the Taxation of Executive Compensation Under Section 409A

By William E. Sigler

In two internal legal memorandums, the IRS has provided guidance on the taxation of executive compensation under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. Compensating managers goes to the heart of the successful operation of any fund investment. Section 409A sets forth requirements relating to deferred compensation. Under Section 409A, if a deferred compensation plan fails to satisfy specific requirements, then amounts deferred under the plan are includable in gross income. Those deferrals are also subject to an additional 20% penalty tax.

## Retention Bonus Includable in Executive's Income

Under a retention agreement, an executive was to receive a bonus for remaining employed until the vesting date, which was the third anniversary of the date of the agreement. The company subsequently determined that the agreement failed to meet the requirements of Section 409A, because the company could impermissibly accelerate payment of the bonus. The company corrected the failure in the third year by removing its discretion to accelerate payment. The executive provided services through the end of the third year at which time the bonus vested.

If a failure under Section 409A occurs at any time during the tax year, there is an amount includable in income that is determined based on the amounts deferred at the of the tax year, reduced by the amounts subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture. The IRS found that the failure to meet the requirements of Section 409A began as of the date the retention agreement was signed and continued until the failure was corrected in the third year.

Thus, the amount includable in income under Section 409A for the first and second years was reduced to zero because the bonus was subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture as of the end of those years. However, the bonus became vested, meaning that it was no longer subject to a substantial risk of

---

forfeiture, at the end of the third year. Therefore, the amount includable in income under Section 409A for the third year was not reduced by any non-vested amount, and the entire amount deferred was taxed to the executive under Section 409A at the end of the third year.

## **Stock Option Includable in Income**

A company agreed to grant an executive a non-statutory stock option to purchase some of the company's common stock. The company subsequently declared a dividend payable to holders of its common stock. In the case of the executive, the company reduced the exercise price per unvested option share by the amount of the dividend.

For a stock option to be exempt under Section 409A, the exercise price may not be less than the fair market value of the underlying stock on the option's grant date. In this case, the executive's non-statutory stock option failed to meet this requirement because the exercise price became less than the applicable fair market value of the underlying stock as a result of the adjustment made for the dividend. In addition, the terms of the option did not provide for exercise dates that were permissible payment events under Section 409A. Because the executive's non-statutory stock option failed to meet the requirements of Section 409A, the total amount deferred under the option became includable in income.

## **Lessons to be Learned**

Section 409A is an addition to, rather than a replacement of, the existing body of law governing non-qualified deferred compensation. The rules can result in harsh tax consequences to arrangements failing to satisfy them. Unfortunately, it is not always apparent when these rules apply. As a consequence, it is necessary to consider their application in a much broader array of situations than has historically been a concern when dealing with executive compensation.