

---

# The Fair Housing Act Remains A Concern in Municipal Blight Actions

By Deborah S. Lapin

It was just over a year ago that the U.S. Supreme Court issued its opinion in *Bank of America v. City of Miami*, arguably providing municipalities with even more ammunition to prosecute lenders and servicers for blight violations in suggesting that unfair lending practices resulted in a disproportionate number of foreclosures and consequently, a greater number of blighted post-foreclosure properties.

The City of Miami sued Bank of America and Wells Fargo alleging that they violated the Fair Housing Act by intentionally implementing unfair lending practices to target minority homeowners. The City of Miami alleged that the discriminatory practices adversely impacted the racial composition of the City, impaired the City's goals to ensure racial integration and desegregation, frustrated the City's interest in promoting fair housing and disproportionately caused foreclosures and vacancies in minority communities. The alleged actions purportedly financially harmed the City of Miami by diminishing property tax revenue and increasing costs and expenses (e.g., police and fire department services).

The Supreme Court held that a municipality is an "aggrieved party" that can sue under the Fair Housing Act. However, the Supreme Court did not define the proximate cause necessary to establish a violation of the Fair Housing Act. It held that proximate cause under the Fair Housing Act requires "some direct relation between the injury asserted and the injurious conduct alleged." It held that foreseeability alone is not sufficient to establish proximate cause and that the lower courts should determine the parameters.

The Supreme Court remanded the matter to the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals to address the aforementioned parameters. On February 28, 2018, the 11th Circuit ordered the parties to file briefs concerning (1) the meaning of direct, proximate causation under the Fair Housing Act and (2) how the Court should proceed once the parties have briefed the issue. The parties filed supplemental briefs on April 30, 2018, and the case will be monitored to determine the Court's ruling. Until the Court sets

---

definitive parameters regarding proximate cause, lenders and servicers can continue to be subject to blight actions based upon alleged violations of the Fair Housing Act.

Visit Maddin Hauser's Financial Services and Real Property Litigation Group Page

---

© Maddin Hauser Roth & Heller P.C. All Rights Reserved. | 248.354.4030 | 248.354.1422 Fax